	Case 1:20-cv-00915-NONE-EPG Docume	ent 12 Filed 12/23/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ABDUL SHAIKH,	Case No. 1:20-cv-00915-NONE-EPG
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING STIPULATED
13	v.	PROTECTIVE ORDER
14	HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., et al.,	(ECF No. 11)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On December 15, 2020, the parties filed a Stipulated Protective Order. (ECF No. 11). The	
18	Court has reviewed the proposed protective order and finds that it does not comply with Eastern	
19	District of California Local Rule 141.1(c), which requires that every proposed protective order	
20	contain the following provisions: "(1) [a] description of the types of information eligible for	
21	protection under the order, with the description provided in general terms sufficient to reveal the	
22	nature of the information (e.g., customer list, formula for soda, diary of a troubled child); (2) [a]	
23	showing of particularized need for protection as to each category of information proposed to be	
24	covered by the order; and (3) [a] showing as to why the need for protection should be addressed	
25	by a court order, as opposed to a private agreement between or among the parties." (paragraph	
26	breaks omitted).	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

Accordingly, the parties' Stipulated Protective Order (ECF No. 11) is DENIED without prejudice to the parties' ability to file a corrected stipulation and proposed protective order. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **December 23, 2020**

Case 1:20-cv-00915-NONE-EPG Document 12 Filed 12/23/20 Page 2 of 2